

**To:** [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy @waterrf.org]  
**From:** Smith, Bonnie  
**Sent:** Tue 2/18/2014 4:23:22 PM  
**Subject:** RE: checking in

Jill,

Thanks for your email. I'll check on your question and get back to you.

Best,

Bonnie

*Bonnie Smith*

*US Environmental Protection Agency*

*Regional Press Officer*

*Phone = 215-814-5543*

**From:** [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy @waterrf.org]  
**Sent:** Friday, February 14, 2014 7:05 PM  
**To:** Smith, Bonnie  
**Subject:** checking in

Hi there,

Ken isn't a happy camper. We are preparing a statement that explains, in more detail, why we cannot make the requested report publically available. My thought is to share this statement with Ken and his editor as well as having it at the ready to distribute to other media if Ken insists on making this a more public issue. You mention that your boss knows his editor – was wondering if s/he had any thoughts on the benefits/risks of reaching out to his editor? Any insights or advice would be much appreciated.

In addition to this statement, we are working on an info sheet re: the issue at hand (why should

utilities feel confident in utilizing a flushing plan with chemicals like MCHC and PPH (or not), etc.) and have let Ken know we expect to provide him this info sheet by COB on Wednesday.

Have a warm and safe weekend! And thanks again for all your help. I'll continue to keep you in the loop.

Jill

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Director, Communications and Marketing

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Water Research Foundation | Advancing the Science of Water

6666 W. Quincy Ave., Denver, CO 80235-3098

[www.WaterRF.org](http://www.WaterRF.org)

---

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.  
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

---